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We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+p(t) \dot{x}+q(t) x=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which there are known a large number of various types of sufficient conditions for the stability of its trivial solution [1]. In particular, a simple and convenient criterion of the Liapunov type was established by Leonov [2] (see also [3,4]): if

$$
q(t)>0, \quad p(t)+\frac{\dot{q}(t)}{2 q(t)} \geqslant 0
$$

then the solutions of Equation (1) is stable* relative to $x$.
In the present note there is derived a new sufficient condition for stability, which generalizes the above-mentioned criterion of Leonov.

Suppose we are given the system of linear differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=a_{11}(t) x+a_{12}(t) y, \quad \dot{y}=a_{21}(t) x+a_{22}(t) y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with piecewise continuous coefficients. Let us consider the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=A(t) x^{2}+2 B(t) x y+C(t) y^{2} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

* In order to have stability with respect to $\dot{x}$ it is necessary to have some additional requirements (for example, the boundedness of $\dot{q}(t)$ on ( $0, \infty$ ) (see [4, pp. 372-373].j
whose coefficients satisfy the system of linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{A}=-2 a_{11} A-2 a_{21} B \\
& \dot{B}=-a_{12} A-\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) B-a_{21} C  \tag{4}\\
& \dot{C}=-2 a_{12} B-2 a_{22} C
\end{align*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(0)=A_{0}>0, \quad B(0)=B_{0}, \quad C(0)=C_{0}>0, \quad A_{0} C_{0}-B_{0}^{2}>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $\Delta t=A(t) C(t)-B^{2}(t)$. Differentiating $\Delta(t)$ and taking account of (4), we obtain

$$
\Delta(t)=-2\left(a_{11}+a_{2 \Omega}\right) \Delta(t)
$$

Whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(t)=\Delta(0) \exp \left[--2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) d \tau\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $A(t)>0$, and $C(t)>0$ when $t>0$. From this it follows that for $t>0$ the equation

$$
A(t) x^{2}+2 B(t) x y+C(t) y^{2}=\text { const }
$$

determines some ellipse in the $x y$-plane.
If we substitute a solution of (2) for $x$ and $y$ in Formula (3), then $U$ will be independent of $t$ (this fact can easily be verified by differentiating $U$ with respect to $t$. Let us assume that along the given solution $x(t), y(t)$ of the system (2), the value of $U$ is equal to $U_{0}$. This means that the point $x(t), y(t)$ lies on the ellipse

$$
A(t) x^{2}+2 B(t) x y+C(t) y^{2}=U_{0}
$$

The point with maximum abscissa (ordinate) on this ellipse has the coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\left.\frac{\left(\overline{I_{n} C(l)}\right.}{\Delta(l)},-B(l) \sqrt{\frac{U_{n}}{C(l) \Delta(l)}}\right\}} \quad\left(\left\{-B(t) \sqrt{\frac{U_{0}}{C(t) \Delta(l)}}, \sqrt{\left.\left.\frac{\overline{U_{0} A(l)}}{\Delta(l)}\right\}\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \text { Therefore }  \tag{7}\\
& |x(l)| \leqslant \sqrt{U_{0} \frac{C(t)}{\Delta(l)}}, \quad|y(t)| \leqslant \sqrt{U_{0} \frac{A(l)}{\Delta(l)}}
\end{align*}
$$

For the boundedness of $x(t)$ on ( $0, \infty$ ) it is, therefore, sufficient that the expression $C(t) / \Delta(t)$ be bounded on ( $0, \infty$ ). The system (2) is linear. Hence, we draw the following conclusion on the basis of the
preceding statements: in order that the trivial solution of the system (2) be stable relative to $x$, it is sufficient that $C(t) / \Delta$ (t) be bounded on $(0, \infty)$.

It is not difficult to prove that the boundedness of $C(t) / \Delta(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is also necessary for the stability of the trivial solution of (2) relative to $x$. In fact, suppose that we have this stability. Then there exists a constant $M$ such that for every solution of the system (2) which satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} x^{2}(0)+2 B_{0} x(0) y(0)-\vdash C_{0} y^{2}(0)=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is true that $|x(t)| \leqslant M$ for all $t>0$.
A solution of the system (2) which satisfies the conditions

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{C\left(t_{0}\right)}{\Delta\left(t_{0}\right)}}, \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)^{n}==-B\left(t_{0}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{C\left(t_{0}\right) \Delta\left(t_{0}\right)}}
$$

will also satisfy the equation $A\left(t_{0}\right) x^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+2 B\left(t_{0}\right) x\left(t_{0}\right) y\left(t_{0}\right)+$ $C\left(t_{0}\right) y^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=1$.

Since the function $U$ is constant along every solution of Equation (2). we obtain (8)

$$
A_{0} x^{2}(0)+2 R_{0} x(0) y(0)+C_{0} y^{2}(0)=1
$$

Therefore

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right)=-\sqrt{\frac{\overline{C\left(l_{0}\right)}}{\Delta\left(t_{0}\right)}} \leqslant M
$$

The arbitrariness of $t_{0}$ is still to be taken into account.
In a similar way we can deduce from (7) that a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the trivial solution of the system (2) relative to $y$ is the boundedness of $A(t) / \Delta(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$. Next, suppose that $s(t)$ is an arbitrary function which is positive and has a continuous derivative on $(0, \infty)$. Let us set
$\left.\lambda(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{s(l)}} \exp \int_{0}^{t}\left\{a_{11}+a_{22}-\cdots\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(a_{21}+\dot{c} a_{12}\right)^{2}}{s}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} d \tau$
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}=-\frac{s}{2 s}+a_{11}+a_{22}-\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(a_{21}-+s a_{12}\right)^{2}}{s}\right]^{1!} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purpose of simplifying the formulas we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\dot{\lambda}+2 \lambda a_{11}, \quad \beta=-\lambda\left(a_{21}+s a_{12}\right), \quad \gamma=-\lambda s-\lambda \dot{s}+2 \lambda s a_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) we easily obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\lambda\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{s}-\left(a_{21}+s a_{12}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} 1-\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right\} \geqslant 0 \\
\gamma=\lambda s\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{s}\left(a_{21}+s a_{12}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}-a_{11}+a_{22}\right\} \geqslant 0  \tag{12}\\
\alpha \gamma-\beta^{2}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $J(t)=\lambda(A+s C)$. Taking into account (4), we have

$$
\dot{J}(t)=\dot{\lambda}(A+\mathrm{s} C)+\lambda(\dot{A}+\mathrm{s} \dot{C}+\dot{\mathrm{s}} C)=-\alpha A+2 \beta B-\gamma C
$$

If the function $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{t})$ vanishes for some value of $t$, then $\beta(t)$ vanishes also at this point (see (12)). In this case $J(t)=-\gamma C \leqslant 0$. It is easily verified that for $a(t) \neq 0$, the following equation holds:

$$
\dot{J}(t)=-\frac{(A \alpha-B \beta)^{2}}{A \alpha}-\frac{\gamma}{A}\left(A C-B^{2}\right) \leqslant 0
$$

We have thus proved that $J(t) \leqslant 0$ when $t>0$. Hence, $J(t) \leqslant J(0)$. Taking into account (6) and (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{C(t)}{\Delta(t)} \leqslant \frac{J(t)}{\lambda(t) s(t)} \frac{1}{\Delta(0)} \exp \left[2 \int_{0}^{i}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) d \tau\right] \leqslant \\
\leqslant \frac{J(0)}{\Delta(0) \sqrt{s(0)}} \exp \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{s}\left(a_{31}+s a_{12}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-\frac{s}{2 s}+a_{11}+a_{22}\right\} d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

This inequality implies the following theorem.
Theorem. If there exist a positive function $s(t)$ which has a continuous derivative on $(0, \infty)$, and a constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{s}\left(a_{11}+s a_{12}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}+a_{22}\right\} d \tau \leqslant M \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$, then the trivial solution of the system (2) is stable relative to $x$.

In an analogous way one can establish a sufficient condition for the stability of the trivial solution of the system (2) relative to $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{s}\left(a_{21}+s a_{12}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}+a_{11}+a_{22}\right\} d \tau \leqslant M \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider some particular criteria which can be deduced from the theorem just proved.

1. If $a_{21} / a_{12}$ is negative and has a continuous derivative on ( $0, \infty$ ).
then one can set $s=-a_{21} / a_{12}$. The sufficient condition for stability relative to $x$ of the trivial solution of the system (2) can be written in the form

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|a_{11}-a_{22}+\frac{a_{12}}{2 a_{21}} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{12}}\right)\right|+a_{11}+a_{22}--\frac{a_{12}}{2 a_{21}} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\frac{a_{21}}{a_{12}}\right)\right] d \tau \leqslant M
$$

In particular, if one reduces Equation (1) to the system (2), then the last condition takes the form

$$
q(t)>0, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|p+\frac{\dot{q}}{2 q}\right|-\left(p+\frac{\dot{q}}{2 q}\right)\right] d \tau<\infty
$$

This sufficient condition of stability relative to $x$ is a generalization of the conditions of Leonov mentioned at the beginning of this note.
2. For the differential equation (1) the inequality (13) can be written in the form

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left[\left(p+\frac{s}{2 s}\right)^{2}+\frac{(q-s)^{2}}{s}\right]^{1 / 2}-p-\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}\right\} d \tau \leqslant M
$$

This gives rise to the following criterion: if there exists a positive function $s(t)$, which has a continuous derivative on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|q-s|}{\sqrt{s}} d t<\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\left|p+\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}\right|-\left(p+\frac{\dot{s}}{2 s}\right)\right] d t<\infty
$$

then the trivial solution of the system (2) is stable relative to $x$.
3. If there exists a constant $\sigma>0$ satisfying the requirement

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{21}+\sigma a_{12}\right| d t<\infty
$$

then by setting $s(t)=\sigma$ we obtain a sufficient condition for the stability of the trivial solution of the system (2) relative to $x$ and $y$ in the form

$$
\int_{0}^{l}\left(\left|a_{11}-a_{22}\right|+a_{11}+a_{22}\right) d \tau \leqslant M \text { when } t>0 \quad\left(M \text { is an arbitrary } \begin{array}{c}
\text { constant })
\end{array}\right.
$$

The formulation of criteria of stability relative to $y$, which are analogous to those in 1 and 2 , does not present any difficulties.
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